DIALOGUE NATURAL LANGUAGE INFERENCE

Sean Welleck Jason Weston Arthur Szlam Kyunghyun Cho

Motivation - 1

• Consistency of dialogue systems

Human: what is your job? Machine: i 'm a lawyer. Human: what do you do? Machine: i 'm a doctor.

Semantic plausibility is not enough to root them out, preventing them is challenging.

Previous work

- Personalizing Dialogue Agents: I have a dog, do you have pets too?
 - The dialogue agent was given a set of personal facts describing its character (a persona)
 - Intended outcome: utterances that consistent with its given persona.
 - However, consistency issue still exists.



Figure 1: Persona-based dialogue with a Key-Value Memory Network trained on Persona-Chat [21].

Motivation - 2

Natural Language Inference task

- Learning a mapping between a sentence pair and a category *{Entail, Neutral, Contradict}*
- Expected to be useful in downstream tasks.

Contributions

- Leveraging an NLI model to reduce the problem pf consistency in dialogue.
- Create a dataset, Dialogue NLI: contains sentence pairs labeled as entailment, neutral, or contradiction.
- Improve consistency of dialogue models.

Problem Formulation

Dialogue Generation

- An alternating two-agent dialogue with agent A and ends with agent B is written as: $u_1^A, u_2^B, u_3^A, u_4^B, ..., u_T^B$
- Persona-based dialogue
 - Each agent is associated with a persona, P_A and P_B
 - Persona is represented by a set of utterances $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$

Consistency

Natural Language inference

 $\mathcal{D} = \{(s_1, s_2)_i, y_i\}_{i=1}^N$ $f_{\text{NLI}}(s_1, s_2) \to \{E, N, C\}$

Problem Formulation

- Reducing dialogue consistency to NLI
 - Given a persona $P_A = \{p_1^A, \dots, p_m^A\}$ for agent A and a length T dialogue $u_1^A, u_2^B, \dots, u_{T-1}^A, u_T^B$.
 - Dialogue contradiction is contained in pair (u_i^A, u_j^A)
 - Persona contradiction is contained in a pair (u_i^A, p_k^A)



(cooking is what i like to do ..., i do not like to cook.) → Contradict

Triples Annotation

- Each **persona sentence** is annotated through a Mechanical Turk task.
 - 10832 persona sentences are annotated

Utterances

- Let p be a persona sentence with triple (e_1, r, e_2)
- If e_2 is a sub-string of u, or word similarity $sim(u, p) \ge \tau$,
 - u is associated with triple (e_1, r, e_2) and persona p

- Pairs (u_i, p_j) and (p_i, p_j) are defined as entailment , neutral or contradiction based on their triple.
- Entailment: share the same triple
- Neutral
 - Miscellaneous utterance (u, p)
 - Persona pairing (p, p')
 - Relation swap (r, r'), e.g. have_vehicle and have_pet

Contradiction

- Relation swap, e.g. *like_activity* and *dislike.*
- Entity swap, e.g. physical_attribute, short -> tall
- Numeric contradiction

Triple	Premise	Hypothesis	Triple	Label
(i, like_activity, chess)	i listen to a bit of every- thing . it helps me fo- cus for my chess tour- naments .	i like to play chess .	(i, like_activity, chess)	E
-	how are you today?	i drink espresso .	(i, like_drink, espresso)	Ν
(i, like_goto, spain)	i love spain so much , i been there 6 times .	i think i will retire in a few years .	(i, want_do, retire)	N
(i, have_vehicle, car)	my vehicle is older model car .	i have pets .	(i, have_pet, pets)	N
(i, dislike, cooking)	i really do not enjoy preparing food for my- self.	i like to cook with food i grow in my garden .	(i, like_activity, cooking)	С
(i, physical_attribute, short)	height is missing from my stature.	i am 7 foot tall .	(i, physical_attribute, tall)	C
(i, have_family, 3 sister)	i have a brother and 3 sisters.	i have a brother and four sisters.	(i, have_family, 4 sister)	С

		Train		Valid		Test	
Data Type	Label	(u, p)	(p, p)	(u, p)	(p, p)	(u, p)	(p,p)
Matching Triple	E	43,000	57,000	5,000	500	4,500	900
Misc. Utterance	Ν	50,000	-	3,350	-	3,000	-
Persona Pairing	Ν	20,000	10,000	2,000	-	2,000	-
Relation Swap	Ν	20,000	-	150	-	400	-
Relation Swap	С	19,116	2,600	85	14	422	50
Entity Swap	С	47,194	31,200	4,069	832	3,400	828
Numerics	С	10,000	-	500	-	1,000	-
Dialogue NLI Overall		310,110		16,500		16,500	

Consistent Dialogue Agent via NLI

• Assume a dialogue model and a Dialogue NLI model

 $f^{\text{dialogue}}(P, u_{< t}, U) \rightarrow (s_1, s_2, ..., s_{|U|})$ $f^{\text{NLI}}(u, p) \rightarrow \{ \vec{E}, \dot{N}, C \}$

• NLI model run on each (u_i, p_j) pair, predicting a label $y_{i,j} \in \{E, N, C\}$, with confidence $c_{i,j}$

 $s_{i}^{\text{contradict}} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y_{i,j} \neq C \ \forall \ p_{j} \in P \\ \max_{j:y_{i,j}=C} c_{i,j} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

• New candidate scores

$$s_i^{\text{re-rank}} = s_i - \lambda (s_1 - s_k) s_i^{\text{contradict}}$$

Experiments - NLI

 NLI models that have achieved competitive performance on existing NLI benchmark datasets

Model	Valid	Test
ESIM	86.31	88.20
InferSent	85.82	85.68
InferSent SNLI	47.86	46.36
InferSent Hypothesis-Only	55.98	57.19
Most Common Class	33.33	34.54
ESIM Ground-Truth Triples	99.53	99.49

Table 3: Dialogue NLI Results

Experiments – Consistency in Dialogue

- Key-Value Memory Network
 - Trained on the persona-chat dataset

• Evaluation sets

Persona (Model)

- · i work in retail .
- i enjoy singers like jason aldea.
- · i love country music .
- · i have an economical suv .

Dialogue

- · Model: hello ! do you like the new song by taylor swift ?
- Human: even though i have lived on earth for 100 years, i have not heard anything better.

Next-Utterance Candidates:

KVMemnn Score	Original	Re-ranked
0.261	yes . i do not like country though .	do you like country music ?
0.203	i hate country music . you ?	i really like country . do you have any pets ?
0.185	do you like country music ?	cool , what is your favorite type of music ? mine is $\operatorname{country}$.
0.149	i really like country . do you have any pets ?	my favorite type of music is country .
0.142	cool , what is your favorite type of music ? mine is country .	cool i love country music sone songs are in spanish .

NLI Model Output:

Confidence	Persona Sentence Labeled as Contradiction	Candidate
1.000	i love country music .	yes . i do not like country though .
0.986	i enjoy singers like jason aldea .	i hate country music . you ?
1.000	i love country music .	

Experiments – Consistency in Dialogue

- Metrics
 - Hits@k
 - Contradict@k
 - Measures the proportion of top-k candidates which are contradicting
 - Entail@k
 - Measures the proportion of top-k candidates which are entailment.
- Results

	Haves		Likes		Attributes	
	Orig.	Rerank	Orig.	Rerank	Orig.	Rerank
Hits@1 ↑	30.2	37.3	16.9	18.7	35.2	36.4
Contradict@1↓	32.5	8.96	17.6	4.1	8.0	5.7
Entail@1↑	55.2	74.6	77.9	90.6	87.5	88.6

Human evaluation

- Scoring
 - Overall score: how well the model represented its persona {1, 2, 3, 4, 5}
 - Consistent: a marking of each model utterance consistent with the models persona {0, 1}
 - **Contradiction:** a marking of each model utterance that contradicted a previous utterance or model's persona {0,1}

	Overall Score ↑		% Consistent ↑		% Contradiction \downarrow	
	Raw	Calibrated	Raw	Calibrated	Raw	Calibrated
KV-Mem	2.11 ± 1.12	$2.21{\pm}~0.26$	0.24	$0.27 {\pm}~0.07$	0.23	0.25 ± 0.08
KV-Mem + NLI	$\textbf{2.34}{\pm}\textbf{1.21}$	$\textbf{2.38}{\pm 0.26}$	0.28	$0.35{\pm}~0.08$	0.19	$\textbf{0.16}{\pm}~\textbf{0.06}$